IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.20275 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: December 21, 2006

Seeta Devi

….Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Haryana and others

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

PRESENT: Shri Amit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The petitioner claims that she has been allotted a plot by the Society respondent No.4 and for the allotment of the aforesaid plot, she has already paid the entire consideration amount. In spite of the aforesaid fact, the conveyance deed with regard to the plot in question has not been executed in favour of the petitioner.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the averments made by the petitioner in the present petition, we find that a legal notice dated October 9, 2006, Annexure P.4 has been served by the petitioner, which remains unresponded so far.

In this view of the matter, without going into the merits of the claim made by the petitioner, we dispose of the present petition with a direction to the Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies, District Gurgaon, respondent No.3 to take a final decision on the

aforesaid legal notice (Annexure P.4) within a period of four months from the date a certified copy of this order is received, by passing a detailed and speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and a representative of respondent No.4 and take such further action as may be required, in accordance with law.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

December 21, 2006
KD

(M.M.S. Bedi)
Judge